

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,755 28006 7:	10/29/2001	Arjun C. Sau	10047	4916
	INCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
HERCULES PLAZA 1313 NORTH MARKET STREET WILMINGTON, DE 19894-0001			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/003,755	SAU, ARJUN C.				
Öffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	to e timely filed I days will be considered timely. Ifrom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	<u>lay 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-27 and 31-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 & 28-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	cicolon requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2.0.4.4.4.7.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4						

Application/Control Number: 10/003,755

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. A response (Paper No. 5) has been filed on May 23, 2003.
- 2. Claims being examined are 1-23 and 28-30.
- 3. According to the applicant, an appropriate explanation was omitted in restricting the claims. It is clarified here that when more than one inventions are claimed in the same application, there is a serious burden for search for prior art. Applicant's argument that there would be no serious burden is simply a conclusionary statement.

Restriction requirement is proper and made final.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-23 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eisenhart et al. (USP 5,137,571), in view of Lau et al. USP 5,376,709).
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed May 23, 2003 (Paper No. 5) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states (on page 3 of above Paper No. 5) that "Eisenhart teaches away from using cyclodextrin". This statement is however valid for thickeners containing about 20% by wt. Of urethane associative thickener. Eisenhart still uses beta cyclodextrin (which is what the applicant has used in instant invention.)

Applicant's next argument (on page 3) that "urethanes of Eisenhart and Lau cannot be substituted for HM-PAPE" is not convincing since cyclodextrins are

Application/Control Number: 10/003,755

™ Art Unit: 1711

known to form inclusion complexes with organic compounds (see Eisenhart, column 3, lines 12-4). It is quite reasonable to infer that when cyclodextrins are (as disclosed by Eisenhart and Lau) useful with urethanes, they should be equally useful with organic compounds viz hydrophobically modified polyacetal-polyether unless proved otherwise.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,755

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

U.K. Rajguru/dh August 25, 2003

> James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700